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An exclusive survey shows CEO participation, smart use of technology, and bold change initiatives are key to successful Supply Chain Management.

by Peter Heckmann, Dermot Shorten, and Harriet Engel

For two major consumer products companies that recently merged, rationalizing and integrating their two supply chains — the set of operations and processes a company uses to obtain materials, transform them into finished products, and distribute these finished products to customers — was a prodigious undertaking that could make or break their union. Millions of dollars in savings were at stake, and many complex process changes lay ahead.

As the companies drew up their postmerger integration strategy for both distribution and sales, senior executives agreed the approach to supply chain integration needed to be all-inclusive, involving every division, and it would even require more acquisitions. Indeed, acquisitions were made to improve the scale of the new company’s operations. Distribution itself was realigned so that a single distributor served each market. And each distributor deployed an exclusive sales force to sell only the combined company’s products. This effort was reflected in the result: In one year, the newly merged company saved more than $100 million, while the exclusive distributor sales force strategy drove an immediate 10 percent increase in market share. Competitors were soon racing to catch up.

This rare merger success story underscores the power of Supply Chain Management (SCM) when it is viewed not as an isolated function within a corporation, but as an embedded cross-functional capability designed to unify and rationalize otherwise incongruent parts of a dispersed organization. But this victory is the exception, not the rule. Although SCM officially reached “adulthood” this year — 21 years after Booz Allen Hamilton coined the term — it continues to fall short of its great promise.

A survey conducted by Booz Allen in the fourth quarter of 2002, "When Supply Chain Management is a CEO-level agenda item, annual savings improvements in the ‘cost to serve customers’ are nearly double."
which received nearly 200 responses from manufacturing and industrial companies in North America, Europe, Asia, and Latin America, many with annual sales of more than $1 billion, clearly identifies reasons the discipline has underdelivered. The core message from respondents, which included chief operating officers, chief financial officers, chief administrative officers, manufactur- ing/operations vice presidents, and logistical/shipping directors, is this: Top management needs to take a far broader view of Supply Chain Management, deepen its own involvement in the design and ongoing guidance of the function, and take a more realistic view of what technology can — and cannot — do.

Learning from Mistakes

Overwhelmingly, the survey showed that senior executives at large companies worldwide believe SCM hasn’t lived up to what it promised in the 1980s, when it took the corporate world by storm. Nevertheless, respondents’ answers suggest a number of ways executives can learn from SCM’s youthful mistakes, and improve this management practice. Among the survey’s conclusions:

- In organizations where Supply Chain Management is part of the overall business strategy — and, therefore, a CEO-level agenda item — annual savings improvements in the “cost to serve customers,” a broad measure of manufacturing costs, were nearly double (8.0 percent versus 4.4 percent) those of firms where SCM responsibility resided lower in the organization.

- Companies willing to consider steps as significant as reorganizing the supply chain itself when appro- priate (also known as “breaking constraints”) achieve savings in two key cost measures that are 36 percent and 55 percent greater than companies willing only to make adjustments within the existing supply chain structure.

- Nearly half (45 percent) of survey respondents said their supply chain information technology (IT) solutions have failed to live up to expectations, suggesting that for Supply Chain Management to reach its full potential, technology alone is not the answer.

Leadership Cuts “Cost to Serve”

Today Supply Chain Management, which is more complicated and challenging than ever before, requires precise and decisive strategic leadership from the top. Without such careful guidance and oversight from the CEO and the company’s full leadership team, our survey suggests companies can’t

“Supply Chain Management is most powerful when it is viewed as an embedded cross-functional capability in an organization.”
achieve the results they desire — and should get — from SCM.

In companies where responsibility for SCM resides below senior management, our survey showed annual savings in the cost to serve customers are just 55 percent of what they are when SCM is a well-integrated component of the overall business strategy. The cost to serve customers comprises all expenses a company incurs when “serving the customer,” including all aspects of the supply chain (from procurement to distribution) as well as marketing and manufacturing costs. This broad cost measure also covers salaries and administrative expenses.

At most companies, responsibility for Supply Chain Management tends to be pushed down the leadership hierarchy. And typically each functional component of SCM (i.e., procurement, transportation, distribution, and inventory) is managed discreetly and with little coordination among the elements. For example, procurement and transportation may well be dealt with in separate functional departments, often without the involvement of sales and distribution in day-to-day decisions. Furthermore, SCM isn’t usually included in the strategic-planning process, which is an opportunity to fit the pieces of the SCM puzzle together.

Although senior management involvement in SCM provides the big benefit of reducing the cost to serve customers, it doesn’t improve the savings in purchasing, according to our survey. (See Exhibit 1.) This is not altogether surprising because purchasing is a narrower part of a company’s cost base; it includes only the procurement costs for and price of raw materials. Because procurement departments are designed to cut purchasing costs (and are measured by their ability to do this), it is reasonable to assume purchasing costs can be effectively controlled regardless of how much SCM and corporate strategy are integrated.

However, responses did show a modest edge in purchasing savings improvement (5.9 percent versus 5.0 percent) for companies at which the CEO is personally engaged in setting the supply chain agenda.

“A Nearly half of survey respondents said their supply chain IT solutions have failed to live up to expectations.”

Breaking Constraints

Greater cost savings are achieved, the survey shows, when management is willing to take a broad approach to SCM — tying together numerous functions across the entire organization — to the point where the overall structure of the supply chain is visible and can be reevaluated when necessary (what we call breaking constraints). This finding is in contrast with management teams that are only willing to make improvements to the existing supply chain structure, what we call optimizing within constraints. (See Exhibit 2.)

For example, a narrow “optimizing within constraints” SCM approach to falling demand for Product B might be to reduce purchases of the raw materials used for Product B and let the rest of the chain adjust to the reduction. A broader “breaking constraints” approach might be to reduce procurement and inventory of Product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit 1: Annualized Cost Performance by Degree of Supply Chain Emphasis in Overall Business Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph showing annualized cost performance by degree of supply chain emphasis in overall business strategy." /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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B, keep manufacturing running at 85 percent of capacity by increasing production of Product C — which happens to use a similar manufacturing process — and switch distributors to those with better knowledge of the Product C marketplace. were disappointed with the results.

Every year, it seems, supposedly “breakthrough” IT is introduced for the supply chain. A few years ago it was enterprise resource planning (ERP) software, the management tool that gathers information across survey respondents indicated that the solutions they implemented did not meet expectations much more often than they exceed them. (See Exhibit 3.) Equally striking, among companies spending $25 million or more over three years on supply chain IT, only 21 percent said their systems exceeded expectations; 38 percent said they did not. These expectation gaps were generally true across geographic regions.

These results, however, only reinforce a truth about IT that is often ignored. Information technology, or any technology for that matter, is ultimately and intimately tied to human variables (e.g., Can people actually use the technology the way it is supposed to be used? Are the management limitations and opportunities to optimize use of IT tools understood? How does IT change the way processes are managed?). For example, the Internet provided great hope for IT supply chain solutions. There was a belief that the mountains of data that could be generated across the supply chain with the Internet’s help would automatically enable managers to

In a related finding, the survey suggests that SCM programs tend to perform best when both the supply and demand sides of the business are addressed. Companies with a balanced approach that incorporates both the demand side (marketing and sales) and the supply side (manufacturing, distribution, and purchasing) outperform companies where the SCM program is dominated by the demand side of the business alone.

**Technology Is No Panacea**

According to the International Data Corporation, businesses worldwide invest more than $19 billion annually on information technology systems solutions to improve their supply chain performance, yet nearly half of the companies represented in the Booz Allen survey, who are among the biggest IT spenders, procurement, inventory, manufacturing, and distribution. Today, the hot technology is radio-frequency ID tags, which allow for automated, real-time tracking of inventory.

The truth — perhaps, a somewhat sad truth for information technology vendors and their customers — is that there is no way to optimize supply chain performance with technology alone. On all measures of IT systems performance, sur-

"Companies that classified their SCM effort as ‘Herculean’ reported annual purchasing savings 26 percent higher than those with less commitment."
making process. Without better ways to manage and analyze the data, the Internet is a great generator of information but not a great tool to facilitate human insight.

This is not to say there isn’t enormous potential to improve SCM using Internet technology. But the potential is only as great as the company’s strategy and managerial prowess of the people who use it.

**Herculean Rewards**

Whether the issue is the quality of the technology, leadership, or execution, or all three, we believe when companies focus on SCM and put genuine effort (time and money) into the process, the promise becomes more tangible. Our research shows companies that make the biggest commitment to better Supply Chain Management outperform those companies where the effort is merely incremental.

Respondents who classified their SCM efforts as “significant” or “Herculean” reported annual purchasing savings 26 percent higher than those with lesser levels of commitment (“incremental,” “aspirational,” or even “nonexistent”). Those companies with higher levels of commitment also reported savings in the cost to serve customers of 6.3 percent versus 5.8 percent for the lesser committed. Although the 9 percent difference in this measure is smaller than for purchasing savings, it is real. This suggests that effort alone does not have as large an impact on the broader measure of cost savings, but improvement in the area requires other factors to be in place, such as the proper supply chain leadership structure and the appropriate use of technology.

Of course, companies’ spending close to $19 billion a year on supply chain technology and not achieving the results they want reinforces the fact that money alone can’t buy success. What matters just as much is creativity, dedication, and patience.
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**Exhibit 3: Performance of Supply Chain Information Technology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did Not Meet Expectations</th>
<th>Exceeded Expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delivery to technical performance promise</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery to economic performance promise</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitates other SCM performance improvement efforts</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supports decision-making across supply chain</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides performance measures across supply chain</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Booz Allen Hamilton